Docket No. CR1090AC Customer No.. 22917

Appl. No. 10/024,826 Amdt. Dated Reply to Office Action of September 8, 2005

## REMARKS

## AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS:

Claims 2-10 and 12 are unchanged. Claim 1 is currently amended. Claim 11 is cancelled. Claims 1-10 and 12 remain in the application.

## Claim Rejection- 35 U.S.C. § 102:

Claims 1-4, 7 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 (e) as being anticipated by Fukuda et al. (U.S., Patent No. 6930987 B1).

Claim 1 has been amended to both substantially incorporate the limitation of cancelled claim 11, and to specifically recite the operation when the introduction device is places in close proximity to the unit communications port. This aspect is discussed at page 9, lines 2-13 of the specification.

As amended, there are three distinct elements in claim 1 that have a function of allowing the removable communications unit to communicate externally, these three elements are a) a unit communications port; b) a unit connector; and c) a communications interface. The unit connector is the USB connector or in Fig. 3 or end 60a in Fig. 6 Fukuda et al and the communications interface is the RF Module 3b in Fig. 7. of Fukuda et al. In Fukuda et al there are only two distinct elements that have a function of allowing the removable communications unit to communicate externally. There is no unit communications port, this port as claimed in applicant's claim 1 allows for "when the introduction device is placed in close proximity to the unit communications port the processor communicates with the introduction device to transfer the security information between the memory and introduction device via the unit communications port and the proximity based communications port thereby allowing the removable communications unit to become part of a federation of operable communications units". It is also drawn to the Examiner's attention that there is no mention in Fukuda et al of an

. . . .

Docket No. CR1090AC Customer No., 22917

Appl. No. 10/024,826 Amdt. Dated Reply to Office Action of September 8, 2005

introduction device with a proximity based communications port. Thus it is submitted that claim 1 is novel over Fukuda et al.

Referring to claim 2, Fukuda et al describes a unit connector and a communications interface, however as mentioned above there is no description of a unit communications port in a Fukuda et al and therefore claim 2 should be considered novel over this citation. For the same reasons, it is submitted that claims 3 and 10 should be considered novel over Fukuda et al.

Referring to claims 4-9 and 12 it is submitted that since claim 1 is novel over Fukuda et al. then these dependent claims, that depend from claim 1, should also be considered novel.

In view of the above, this application is believed to be in proper form for allowance and an early notice of allowance is respectfully requested.

The Applicants believe that the subject application, as amended, is in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited by the Applicants.

In the event that the Examiner deems the present application non-allowable, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the Applicant's attorney or agent at the number indicated below so that the prosecution of the present case may be advanced by the clarification of any continuing rejection.

Please charge any fees that may be due to Deposit Account 502117, Motorola, Inc.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc. 1303 East Algonquin Road IL01/3<sup>rd</sup> Floor Schaumburg, IL 60196 Customer Number: 22917 Daniel K. Nichols Attorney of Record Reg. No.: 29,420

Telephone:847-576-5219 Fax No.: 847-576-3750